

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,921	09/10/2003	Thomas M. Kopera	47079-0231	2299
30223 NIXON PEAT	30223 7590 03/19/2008 NIXON PEABODY LLP		EXAMINER	
161 N. CLARK STREET			TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN	
48TH FLOOR CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/658,921
 KOPERA ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Adetokunbo O. Torimiro
 3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Adelokumbo O. Tonimiro.	(3)Soroniei Cimppoes.			
(2) <u>John Hotaling</u> .	(4) Jeremie Moll; Scott Massing.			
Date of Interview: 12 March 0208.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>Various sample pictures of to</u>	e)  No. he invention was showed and explained.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Fogelman et al</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was based on the shape changing feature of the applicants invention as not being taught by Fogelman et al. Examiner argues that based on the claim as written. Fogelman et al. in combination with Hedrick et al teaches it. Applicant as agreed to ammend the claim to better clarify the invention. Examiner will fully and fairly consider the applicant's timely submitted and officially written response to the office.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALIUNG DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.